

Universiteit Utrecht

Multiple GIGAbit Networks for Research Applications

Cees de Laat Computational Physics department Utrecht University



Structure and research topics FYI



Structure and research topics FYI Rise and Fall of ATM



Structure and research topics FYI
Rise and Fall of ATM
GIGAport, QBone



Structure and research topics FYI
Rise and Fall of ATM
GIGAport, QBone
FYI initiatives



Structure and research topics FYI
Rise and Fall of ATM
GIGAport, QBone
FYI initiatives



Computational Physics

Located in Minnaert Building 3th floor

- 1 Professor
- 3 staff
- 1 secr
- ± 6 on projects
- ± 10 stud
- 3 stag
- 2 industry



Research subjects - 1, 2

Computational Physics Ocean and weather modeling Solid State physics Supercomputing massive parallel system Code distribution and optimization Computer based learning systems - SENS project Computer and network based college - WEB based (Java, HTML, Db, Groupware)

Research Subjects - 3

- EU project REMOT / DYNACORE
 - Collaboratories, virtual control rooms
 - Support science at the home institutes
 - Groupware, Videoconference tools point to point and point to multipoint
 - Corba services, distributed object db
 - www.phys.uu.nl/~dynacore

Research Subjects - 4

Networking

- Focus on applications for Physics
- QoS networks for computing, collaboratories and telelearning
- Distributed systems topics:
 - Modeling
 - Optimization
 - Simulation
 - Emulation

SURFnet

- Network backbone for University's
- 4 cluster leaders, ~ 14 POP's
- 155 Mbit/s to USA
- Services <-> research
- TF-Ten Quantum project
- SURFnet 4 -> move to 155 Mbit/s ATM
- 1999 -> SURFnet 5, the gigaport project







1994 SURFnet and PTT choose ATM Data, voice and video mixed on backbone Call for proposals on Applications

1994 SURFnet and PTT choose ATM

 Data, voice and video mixed on backbone
 Call for proposals on Applications

 1995 Utrecht - Amsterdam tests

1994 SURFnet and PTT choose ATM

Data, voice and video mixed on backbone
Call for proposals on Applications

1995 Utrecht - Amsterdam tests
1996 All universities and research labs

1994 SURFnet and PTT choose ATM

Data, voice and video mixed on backbone
Call for proposals on Applications

1995 Utrecht - Amsterdam tests
1996 All universities and research labs
1997 TF-TEN European pilot network

• 1994 SURFnet and PTT choose ATM Data, voice and video mixed on backbone - Call for proposals on Applications 1995 Utrecht - Amsterdam tests • 1996 All universities and research labs 1997 TF-TEN European pilot network • 1998 Abandon the ATM ship, what has happened?

• 1994 SURFnet and PTT choose ATM Data, voice and video mixed on backbone - Call for proposals on Applications 1995 Utrecht - Amsterdam tests • 1996 All universities and research labs 1997 TF-TEN European pilot network • 1998 Abandon the ATM ship, what has happened?

The train model

• ATM looks so simple

- Fixed size cell's with address information
- Audio and video mixed with data
- Seems very deterministic and predictable



Switched Virtual Connections



Switched Virtual Connections
Call Admission Control



Switched Virtual Connections
Call Admission Control
VBR, ABR



Switched Virtual Connections
Call Admission Control
VBR, ABR
Shaping



- Switched Virtual Connections
- Call Admission Control
- VBR, ABR
- Shaping
- Policing



- Switched Virtual Connections
- Call Admission Control
- VBR, ABR
- Shaping
- Policing
- Flow Control



- Switched Virtual Connections
- Call Admission Control
- VBR, ABR
- Shaping
- Policing
- Flow Control
- Leaky Bucket



- Switched Virtual Connections
- Call Admission Control
- VBR, ABR
- Shaping
- Policing
- Flow Control
- Leaky Bucket
- Leaky as the pest



The swamp

AAL, ABR, ATM, AVCR, CAC, CBR, CDV, CLP, CLR, CLR0, CRM, CTD, DSP, DTL, EPD, ES, ESI, GCAC, IAS, ICR, IISP, ILMI, LGN, MIB, NNI, NSAP, PG, PGL, PPD, PTSE, PTSP, PNNI, PVC, PVCC, PVPC, QoS, RCC, SVC, SVCC, UBR, UNI, VBR, VCC, VCI, VP, **VPC**, **VPI**, ...

The swamp

AAL, ABR, ATM, AVCR, CAC, CBR, CDV, CLP CTD, DSP, DTL, AC, IAS, NI, NSAP, ICR, IISP, PG, PGL, PNNI, PVC, PVC C, SVC, VCI, VP, SVCC, UB., **VPC**, **VPI**, ...

The three scenarios

The three scenarios

Bureaucracy

- Long turnaround (rtt ≈ days)
- Expensive rented lines system

The three scenarios

Bureaucracy

- Long turnaround (rtt ≈ days)
- Expensive rented lines system
- Complexity
 - Automatic call setup
 - Needs probably also bureaucracy
The three scenarios

Bureaucracy

- Long turnaround (rtt ≈ days)
- Expensive rented lines system
- Complexity
 - Automatic call setup
 - Needs probably also bureaucracy
- Throw Bandwidth at the problem
 - Might go wrong at bottlenecks
 - Easiest solution (UBR).

European PTT's learned to talk (n²)

 Using CBR makes it a flexible leased lines system

 Can indeed give guaranteed RTT's and QoS

Using CE lines sys

Europeai

Can inde and QoS

earned to talk (n²)

it a flexible leased

Using CE lines sys

Europeai

Can inde and QoS

earned to talk (n²)

it a flexible leased

Using CE lines sys

Europeai

Can inde and QoS

earned to talk (n²)

it a flexible leased

Using CE lines sys

Europeai

Can inde and QoS

earned to talk (n²)

it a flexible leased

Using CE lines sys

Europeai

Can inde and QoS

earned to talk (n²)

it a flexible leased

Using CE lines sys

Europeai

Can inde and QoS

earned to talk (n²)

it a flexible leased

The big common sausage is not acceptable for everybody

- The big common sausage is not acceptable for everybody
- Need for differentiated services

- The big common sausage is not acceptable for everybody
- Need for differentiated services
- Balance resources

- The big common sausage is not acceptable for everybody
- Need for differentiated services
- Balance resources
- Ways to go:

- The big common sausage is not acceptable for everybody
- Need for differentiated services
- Balance resources
- Ways to go:
 - Higher layer (ATM, ETH, POS, ... -> IP)

- The big common sausage is not acceptable for everybody
- Need for differentiated services
- Balance resources
- Ways to go:
 - Higher layer (ATM, ETH, POS, ... -> IP)
 - RSVP, intserv

- The big common sausage is not acceptable for everybody
- Need for differentiated services
- Balance resources
- Ways to go:
 - Higher layer (ATM, ETH, POS, ... -> IP)
 - RSVP, intserv
 - TOS bits in IPv4 and IPv6, diffserv

Physics-UU to IPP-FZJ => 8 kingdoms

 Physics dept

Physics-UU to IPP-FZJ => 8 kingdoms Physics dept ACCU

Physics-UU to IPP-FZJ => 8 kingdoms

 Physics dept
 ACCU
 SURFnet

Physics-UU to IPP-FZJ => 8 kingdoms

 Physics dept
 ACCU
 SURFnet
 PTT

- Physics dept
- ACCU
- SURFnet
- PTT
- Deutsche Telecom

- Physics dept
- ACCU
- SURFnet
- PTT
- Deutsche Telecom
- WINS/DFN

- Physics dept
- ACCU
- SURFnet
- PTT
- Deutsche Telecom
- WINS/DFN
- FZJ-ZAM

- Physics dept
- ACCU
- SURFnet
- PTT
- Deutsche Telecom
- WINS/DFN
- FZJ-ZAM
- FZJ-IPP

End user motivation

End users don't want to pay

Decentralization places bills at end user
Users have a different "core business"
Internet is perceived as free and it works

We must move forward
Applications are the key

End user motivation

End users don't want to pay

Decentralization places bills at end user
Users have a different "core business"
Internet is perceived as free and it works

We must move forward

Applications are the key

End user motivation

End users don't want to pay

Decentralization places bills at end user
Users have a different "core business"
Internet is perceived as free and it works

We must move forward

Applications are the key

Networks are expensive resources

Networks are expensive resources
Borrow from supercomputer era

- Networks are expensive resources
- Borrow from supercomputer era
- New unit: megabit/s kilometer second (mks)

- Networks are expensive resources
- Borrow from supercomputer era
- New unit: megabit/s kilometer second (mks)
 SURFnet has: 10 * 155 * 200 * 31536000 ≈ 9.8E12 mks

- Networks are expensive resources
- Borrow from supercomputer era
- New unit: megabit/s kilometer second (mks)
 - SURFnet has: 10 * 155 * 200 * 31536000 ≈ 9.8E12 mks
 - Dynacore needs: 1 * 20 * 400 * 80*8*3600 ≈ 1.8E10 mks

- Networks are expensive resources
- Borrow from supercomputer era
- New unit: megabit/s kilometer second (mks)
 - SURFnet has: 10 * 155 * 200 * 31536000 ≈ 9.8E12 mks
 - Dynacore needs: 1 * 20 * 400 * 80*8*3600 ≈ 1.8E10 mks
 - DAS needs: 24 * 10 * 100 * 50*24*3600 ≈ 1.0E11 mks
New cost model

- Networks are expensive resources
- Borrow from supercomputer era
- New unit: megabit/s kilometer second (mks)
 - SURFnet has: 10 * 155 * 200 * 31536000 ≈ 9.8E12 mks
 - Dynacore needs: 1 * 20 * 400 * 80*8*3600 ≈ 1.8E10 mks
 - DAS needs: 24 * 10 * 100 * 50*24*3600 ≈ 1.0E11 mks
- Establish a program advisory commission

New cost model

- Networks are expensive resources
- Borrow from supercomputer era
- New unit: megabit/s kilometer second (mks)
 - SURFnet has: 10 * 155 * 200 * 31536000 ≈ 9.8E12 mks
 - Dynacore needs: 1 * 20 * 400 * 80*8*3600 ≈ 1.8E10 mks
 - DAS needs: 24 * 10 * 100 * 50*24*3600 ≈ 1.0E11 mks
- Establish a program advisory commission
 Use ecash on virtual bank to account

New cost model

- Networks are expensive resources
- Borrow from supercomputer era
- New unit: megabit/s kilometer second (mks)
 - SURFnet has: 10 * 155 * 200 * 31536000 ≈ 9.8E12 mks
 - Dynacore needs: 1 * 20 * 400 * 80*8*3600 ≈ 1.8E10 mks
 - DAS needs: 24 * 10 * 100 * 50*24*3600 ≈ 1.0E11 mks
- Establish a program advisory commission
- Use ecash on virtual bank to account
- Use chipcards with certificates to do CAC

Possible architecture



80 gigabit backbone



80 gigabit backbone20 gigabit pops



80 gigabit backbone
20 gigabit pops
2 megabit to every SURFnet user@home

Videostreaming
Telelearning
The usual app's

80 gigabit backbone • 20 gigabit pops • 2 megabit to every SURFnet user@home - Videostreaming - Telelearning - The usual app's Internet2 connectivity

- 80 gigabit backbone
- 20 gigabit pops
- 2 megabit to every SURFnet user@home
 - Videostreaming
 - Telelearning
 - The usual app's
- Internet2 connectivity
- QBone



- 80 gigabit backbone
- 20 gigabit pops
- 2 megabit to every SURFnet user@home
 - Videostreaming
 - Telelearning
 - The usual app's
- Internet2 connectivity
- QBone



Playing ground: GIGAcluster



Playing ground: GIGAcluster





GIGAcluster applications

- REMOT/DYNACORE, collaboratory
- Objectivity, distributes db's
- Corba, object and message passing
- Qbone, Quality of Service on WAN
- MCU's, scalable video distribution
- SURFnet 5, GIGAbit producer/sink
- DAS Computing
- LLT (LFAP, CAC, COPS, IPSEC, …)

T hanks

More info:

http://www.phys.uu.nl/~delaat http://www.phys.uu.nl/~wwwfi http://www.phys.uu.nl/~dynacore

